

## Alternative Approaches to Valuation of Private Companies

- 1) Comparables
- 2) Net Present Value Approach
- 3) Adjusted Present Value Approach
- 4) The 'Venture Capital' Method
- 5) Options Analysis

- ◆ Each approach has advantages and disadvantages.
- ◆ Generally there is no "right" answer to a valuation problem. Valuation is very much an art as much as a science!

1

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Evaluation of Comparables

- ◆ How to compute comparables:
  - Start with a sample of securities whose business characteristics are similar to the company being valued.
  - Assume that the company has similar financial ratios to the "comparable" companies. A number of different ratios are typically used: Price/Earnings, Market/Book, Market Value/Sales, EBIT.
  - Then back out the implied value of the company being studied.
- ◆ Comparables Approach relies on two assumptions:
  - Comparable companies have future cash flow expectations and risks similar to the firm being valued
  - Performance measure is actually proportional to value

2

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Different ways of Doing Comparables

- ◆ "Comparable Company" – Uses a multiple calculated from the trading values of firms in the same industry as the firm being valued.
- ◆ "Comparable Transaction" – Uses a multiple from companies that were involved in a similar transaction as the firm being valued.
- ◆ "Comparable Industry Transaction" – Uses a multiple from companies from the same industry that were involved in a similar transaction as the firm being valued.

3

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Problems with Comparables Approach

- ◆ Generally tough to find appropriate companies to be comparable
- ◆ Which ratio/comparable do you use? There isn't a "right" answer, so comparables approach will give a range of values rather than one?
- ◆ Many comparable companies have different capital structures.
  - Leverage will mechanically affect some financial ratios.
  - It is often a good idea to use ratios that are not affected by leverage (for example, debt+equity/operating cash flow).

4

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Discounted Cash flow Approach

- ◆ Capitalizes the cash flows the firm is expected to generate.
- ◆ Strength: Reflects actual benefits that investors care about (cash flows) better than other methods.
- ◆ Weakness: Relies heavily on projections. Valuations are only as good as these projections!

5

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Different DCF Methods

- ◆ Net Present Value Approach
  - Discount Free Cash Flows at WACC.
- ◆ Adjusted Present Value
  - Calculate the value of the 'all-equity' firm, then add the present value of tax shields.
  - Useful approach when leverage ratios are projected to change over time. This often occurs in buyouts.

6

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Valuing Firms using Discounted Cash Flows

$$V_F = PV(FCF_T) + PV(TV_T) + NOA$$

$V_F$  = the value of the business

$PV(FCF_T)$  = the present value of the total free cash flows from operations during the forecast period

$PV(TV_T)$  = the present value of the total terminal or residual value at the end of the forecast period

NOA = the market value of excess or non-operating assets (including assets that could be sold without affecting operations such as excess land, an extra building, etc.)

7

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Valuing Firms Using Discounted Cash Flows

The total value of a firm also equals the sum of value of the claims against its cash flows.

$$V_F = V_D + V_E$$

where  $V_D$  = the market value of debt

and  $V_E$  = the market value of the firm's equity.

- ◆ This suggests that the value of the equity claims against a firm can be calculated as:

$$V_E = V_F - V_D$$

8

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Taxes and WACC

- ◆ If you discount using the WACC approach, cash flows have to be projected just as you would for a capital investment project.

- Do not deduct interest.
- Calculate taxes as if the company were all-equity financed. The value of interest tax shields is picked up in the WACC formula.

9

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## The Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC) Formula

$$WACC = (1 - Tc) \left( \frac{D}{V} \times r_D \right) + \left( \frac{P}{V} \times r_P \right) + \left( \frac{E}{V} \times r_E \right)$$

10

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## After-Tax WACC

### Example - Sangria Corporation



|              |     |     |                   |
|--------------|-----|-----|-------------------|
| Assets       | 125 | 50  | Debt              |
|              |     | 25  | Preferred Equity  |
|              |     | 50  | Common Equity     |
| Total assets | 125 | 125 | Total liabilities |

$$WACC = (1 - .35) \left( \frac{50}{125} \times .08 \right) + \left( \frac{25}{125} \times .10 \right) + \left( \frac{50}{125} \times .146 \right)$$

= .1104  
= 11.04%

11

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Cost of Financing for WACC

### ◆ How are costs of financing determined?

- Return on equity can be derived from market data. For private companies, this typically involves using the beta of a comparable company.
- Cost of debt is set by the market given the specific rating of a firm's debt.
  - ◆ Difference between promised and actual returns can create problems, especially with junk bonds.
- Preferred stock often has a preset dividend rate.

12

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Calculating Free Cash Flows

- ◆ The free cash flows from the business in period  $t$  are calculated as:

$$FCF_t = EBIT_t(1 - T_c) + DEP_t - CAPEX_t - \Delta NWC_t + \text{other}$$

Where:

$EBIT_t$  = the projected earnings before interest and taxes

$T_c$  = the corporate tax rate

$DEP_t$  = book depreciation and amortization

$CAPEX_t$  = the total capital expenditures (maintenance and new expenditures) consistent with the EBIT projections

$\Delta NWC_t$  = the additional net working capital that must be obtained during period  $t$  to support the level of activity implied by  $EBIT_t$ .

other = increases in taxes payable, wages payable, etc.

- ◆ Note: Free cash flows are after-tax and are **not** adjusted for interest deductibility. The WACC uses the tax-adjusted interest rate, which has the effect of adjusting for the deductibility of interest payments.

13

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Terminal Values

- ◆ Because businesses are typically long-lived assets and detailed cash flow forecasts beyond 5 or 10 years tend to be more fiction than fact, most analysts project cash flows for a finite period and then assume some terminal value at the end of that period.
- ◆ The terminal value is often estimated by assuming that the cash flows beyond the last projection year remain flat or increase moderately (e.g., at the long-term expected rate of inflation).
- ◆ Sometimes in venture situations, terminal values are estimated by other methods such as comparables.

14

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Terminal Values

- ◆ For instance, if detailed cash flow forecasts are produced for 5 years, the terminal value, TV, may be estimated as:

$$TV = CF_5 / WACC_5$$

$CF_5$  = the cash flow in year 5

- ◆  $WACC_5$  = the weighted average cost of capital in year 5.

- ◆ This equation assumes that both the cash flows and the discount rate remain constant in the out-years.

- ◆ More commonly, it is assumed that the cash flows exhibit some nominal level of growth,  $g$ , in the out-years, implying that:

$$TV = CF_5(1+g)/(WACC_5 - g).$$

15

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Strengths and Weakness of the NPV Method

- ◆ Strengths
  - Theoretically sound. Method discounts cash flows, which is what actually determines value.
- ◆ Weaknesses
  - Relies on cash flow forecasts, which tend to be inaccurate and biased upwards.
  - Assumes that firm's capital structure remains constant over time.
  - Cannot easily estimate betas for private firms.

16

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Uncertainty about cash flows and the NPV Method

- ◆ One approach:
  - Estimate value assuming 'best', 'most likely' and 'worst' case scenarios.
  - Assign probabilities to each scenario and compute the probability-weighted firm value.
- ◆ Monte Carlo Simulation
  - Specify probability distribution of each cash flow.
  - Then simulation program such as 'Crystal Ball' will generate distribution of firm values.
  - Approach relies on knowing distributions of cash flows, which in practice can be difficult to estimate.

17

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## The Adjusted Present Value (APV) Approach

- ◆ Variation on the NPV Method
- ◆ Value of firm = Value of unlevered firm + PV (Tax Shields)
- ◆ Useful when firm's capital structure is changing or firm has net operating losses (NOLs).

18

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Calculating APV

- ◆ First calculate cash flows, ignoring capital structure.
- ◆ Discount cash flows using *unlevered* beta.
- ◆ Calculate tax shields, and discount them using appropriate discount rate.
  - Often it makes sense to discount tax shields at the debt's rate of return rather than the equity's, since tax shields are typically less risky than cash flow.
- ◆ Calculate PV of NOLs.
- ◆ APV equals the sum of these factors.

19

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## The 'Venture Capital' Method

- ◆ Commonly used in the private equity industry.
- ◆ Starts from an estimate of the value of the business upon exit (the expected price of an IPO or sale).
- ◆ Then backs out the initial stake the VC must have to make a specified dollar investment lead to this exit value (assuming a very high rate of return, typically 40-70%).

20

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## The Venture Capital Method (continued)

- ◆ Terminal value (TV) is usually taken to be a multiple of projected cash flows in some point in the future.
- ◆ Discounted TV =  $TV / (1 + \text{Target})^{\text{years}}$
- ◆ Required Final % Ownership = Investment / Discounted TV
  - Assumes that there is no subsequent investment
- ◆ If there are to be future issues (and dilution of initial ownership stake):
  - Retention ratio = (final % ownership) / (initial % ownership)
  - Required initial % ownership = (Required final % own.) / retention ratio

21

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## The Venture Capital Method: Reliance on high discount rates

- ◆ Justifications for high discount rates:
  - Premium for Risk
  - Premium for Illiquidity
  - Premium for Value-Added by Investor
  - Offsets overly optimistic cash flow forecasts.
- ◆ These justifications are simply 'fudge factors'. Better to address each of these concerns directly.
- ◆ Rather than use high discount rates to adjust optimistic cash flow estimates, why not ask tough questions (or do both)?
  - What is performance of comparable companies?
  - What market share does company need to meet projections?
  - What are the key risks?
  - What happens if subsequent financing opportunities are different from those projected?

22

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Options Analysis

- ◆ Often, new ventures have 'options' associated with them.
  - Can expand projects, abandon them, go into related businesses, etc. depending on what happens in the future.
  - Discounted cash flow analysis such as NPV or APV ignores flexibility associated with these options
  - 'Real options' analysis uses option pricing methods to analyze the value of such flexibility.

23

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Options Analysis (example)

- ◆ Suppose you have a project that costs \$150, and generates cash flows worth (in today's dollars) \$200, \$160, or \$120 with equal probability.
  - Project's  $NPV = (1/3)(50 + 10 - 30) = \$10$
- ◆ If you can delay decision on whether to invest 1 period:
  - Will not invest if cash flow is \$120.
  - $NPV = (1/3)(50 + 10 + 0) = \$20$
  - Option to delay has a value of \$10.

24

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---